

Best Available Copy

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
31 December 2003 (31.12.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/001569 A2

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **G06F 3/00,**
A61B 19/00

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/CA2003/000947

(22) International Filing Date: **23 June 2003 (23.06.2003)**

(25) Filing Language: **English**

(26) Publication Language: **English**

(30) Priority Data:
60/390,188 21 June 2002 (21.06.2002) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **CEDARA
SOFTWARE CORP. [CA/CA]; 6509 Airport Road, Mis-
sissauga, Ontario L4V 1S7 (CA).**

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **SATI, Marwan
[CA/CA]; 3355 Spirea Terrace, Mississauga, Ontario L5N**

**7N6 (CA). CROITORU, Haniel [CA/CA]; 420 Ellerslie
Avenue, Toronto, Ontario M2R 1C2 (CA). TATE, Peter
[CA/CA]; 450 St. David Street North, Fergus, Ontario
N1M 2K2 (CA). FU, Liqun [CA/CA]; 7369 Glamorgan
Way, Mississauga, Ontario L5N 7Z3 (CA).**


(74) Agent: **ORANGE, John, R. S.; McCarthy Tetrault LLP,
66 Wellington Street West, Suite 4700, Box 48, Toronto
Dominion Bank Tower, Toronto, Ontario M5K 1E6 (CA).**

(81) Designated States (national): **AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU,
CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH,
GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC,
LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW,
MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE,
SG, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ,
VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.**

(84) Designated States (regional): **ARIPO patent (GH, GM,
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW),
Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),
European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE,
ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO,**

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: **COMPUTER ASSISTED SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MINIMAL INVASIVE HIP, UNI KNEE AND TOTAL KNEE
REPLACEMENT**



(57) Abstract: As a general overview, the system (10) is used to assist the surgeon in performing an operation by acquiring and displaying an image of the patient. Subsequent movement of the patient and instruments is tracked and displayed on the image. Images of a selection of implants are stored by the system and may be called to be superimposed on the image. The surgical procedures may be planned using the images of the patient and instruments and implants and stored as a series of sequential tasks referred to defined datums, such as inclination or position. Gestures of the surgeon may be used in the planning stage to call the image of the instruments and in the procedure to increment the planned tasks.

WO 2004/001569 A2



SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

Published:

— *without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report*

COMPUTER ASSISTED SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MINIMAL INVASIVE HIP, UNI KNEE AND TOTAL KNEE REPLACEMENT

This application claims the benefit of US Provisional Patent Application No: 60/390,188, entitled "COMPUTER ASSISTED SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MINIMAL INVASIVE HIP, UNI KNEE AND TOTAL KNEE REPLACEMENT", filed on June 21, 2002.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0001] The present invention relates to a method and system for computer assisted medical surgery procedures, more specifically, the invention relates to a system which aids a surgeon in accurately positioning surgical instruments for performing surgical procedures, and also relates to reducing user interaction with the system for minimal invasive surgery.

[0002] Many surgical procedures, particularly in the fields of orthopedic surgery and neurosurgery, involve the careful placement and manipulation of probes, cutting tools, drills and saws amongst a variety of surgical instruments. Computer-based surgical planning has been investigated by many researchers over the past decade and the promise of the technology is to provide better surgical results (with fewer procedures), decreased time in the operating room, lower resulting risk to the patient (increased precision of technique, decreased infection risk), and a lower cost. In image-guided surgery the vision of reality is enhanced using information from CT, MR and other medical imaging data. Certain instruments can be guided by these patient specific images if the patient's position on the operating table is aligned to this data.

[0003] Preoperative 3D imaging may help to stratify patients into groups suitable for a minimally invasive approach or requiring open surgery. The objectives include the most accurate prediction possible, including the size and position of the prosthesis, the compensation of existing differences in leg lengths, recognizing possible intraoperative particularities of the intervention, reducing the operating time and the potential for unforeseen complications.

[0004] Traditional surgical planning involves overlay of 2D templates onto planar

X-ray images, however this process is sensitive to errors in planar X-ray acquisition and magnification. Precise 3D models of implants superposed onto intra-operative calibrated fluoro is an improvement over current methods, however interpretation of these 3D models is not intuitive.

[0005] In the case of X-ray imaging (fluoroscopy or CT scan), the surgical staff are required wear protective clothing, such as lead aprons during the procedure. Also, the imaging device must be present during the course of the surgery in case the patient's orientation is changed. This can be cumbersome and undesirable given the space requirements for such equipment, such as magnetic resonance imaging, X-ray imaging machine or ultrasound machine. Therefore, in such circumstances it is desirable to maintain the patient in a fixed position through the course of the surgical operation, which can prove to be very difficult. Therefore, a surgeon has to be present for image acquisition and landmark identification.

[0006] Image-guided surgery permits acquiring images of a patient whilst the surgery is taking place, align these images with high resolution 3D scans of the patient acquired preoperatively and to merge intraoperative images from multiple imaging modalities. Intraoperative MR images are acquired during surgery for the purpose of guiding the actions of the surgeon. The most valuable additional information from intraoperative MR is the ability for the surgeon to see beneath the surface of structures, enabling visualization of what is underneath what the surgeon can see directly.

[0007] The advantages of 2D operation planning include simple routine diagnostics, as the X-ray is in 2 planes, simple data analysis, simple comparison/quality control on postoperative X-ray, and more beneficial cost-benefit relation. However, 2D operation planning module has the several drawbacks, it lacks capability of spatially imaging of anatomic structures, and implant size can only be determined by using standardized X-ray technology and has no coupling to navigation. The advantages of 3D include precise imaging of anatomical structures, precise determination of implant size, movement analysis of the joint possible, and coupling with navigation. However, 3D provides for more expensive diagnostics, as it involves X-ray imaging and CT/MRI imaging. Also, CT data analysis is time consuming and costly, and there is no routine comparison of 3D planning and OP result (post-op. CT on routine.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0008] In one of its aspects there is provided a computer-implemented method for enhancing interaction between a user and a surgical computer assisted system, the method includes the steps of tracking a user's hand gestures with respect to a reference point; registering a plurality of gesturally-based hand gestures and storing said gestures on a computer-readable medium; associating each of said plurality of gesturally-based hand gestures with a desired action; detecting a desired action by referencing said user's hand gestures stored on said computer-readable medium; and performing the desired action.

[0009] In another one of its aspects there is provided a computer-implemented method for enhancing interaction between a user and a surgical computer assisted system, the method having the steps of: determining information for a surgical procedure from the orientation of a medical image whereby accuracy of said information is improved. The orientation of the medical image is obtained by tracking of the imaging device or by tracking of a fiducial object visible in the image.

[0010] In another one of its aspects there is provided a method for a computer assisted surgery system, the method includes the steps of using 3D implant and instrument geometric models in combination with registered medical images, generating 2D projections of that instrument and/or implant, updating the 2D projection dynamically in real-time as the implant/instrument is moved about in 3D space. Advantageously, the dynamic 2D projection is more intuitive and provides ease of use a user.

[0011] In yet another aspect of the invention, there is provided a method for a computer assisted surgery system, the method having the steps of displaying a magnified virtual representation of a target instrument or implant size while smaller instruments or implants are being used.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0012] These and other features of the preferred embodiments of the invention will become more apparent in the following detailed description in which reference is made to

the appended drawings wherein:

- [0013] Figure 1 is a schematic representation of a computer assisted surgery system;
- [0014] Figure 2 is a block diagram of a computing device used in the system of figure 1;
- [0015] Figure 3 is a set of instruments for use with the system of Figure 1;
- [0016] Figure 4 is patient tracker for minimal invasive surgery;
- [0017] Figure 5 is a flow chart showing the sequential steps of using the system of figure 1.
- [0018] Figure 6 shows examples of landmarks defining a pelvic coordinate system;
- [0019] Figure 7 shows a way of calculating an anteversion or inclination angle;
- [0020] Figure 8 shows a virtual representation of a reamer;
- [0021] Figure 9 shows a femoral anteversion;
- [0022] Figure 10 shows guidance of a femoral stem length and an anteversion angle; and
- [0023] Figure 11 is a 2D projection of femoral stem model.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

[0024] Referring to Figure 1, there is shown a computer assisted surgery system 10 for performing open surgical procedures and minimal invasive surgical procedures on a patient 12 usually positioned horizontally on an operating table 14. Open surgical procedures include hip, knee and trauma surgeries, however computer assistance can facilitate minimal invasive approaches by providing valuable imaging information of normally hidden anatomy. Minimal invasive surgical procedures include keyhole approaches augmented by calibrated image information which reduce hospital stay and cost and greatly improve patient 12 morbidity and suffering. Such surgical procedures require a plurality of instruments 16, such as drills, saws and rasps. The system 10 assists and guides a user 18, such as a medical practitioner, to perform surgical procedures, such as to place implants 20 using the instruments 16, by providing the user 18 with positioning and orientation of the instruments 16 and implants 20 with relation to

the patient's 12 anatomical region of the operation, such as the hip area.

[0025] As a general overview, the system 10 is used to assist the surgeon in performing an operation by acquiring and displaying an image of the patient. Subsequent movement of the patient and instruments is tracked and displayed on the image. Images of a selection of implants are stored by the system and may be called to be superimposed on the image. The surgical procedures may be planned using the images of the patient and instruments and implants and stored as a series of sequential tasks referred to defined datums, such as inclination or position. Gestures of the surgeon may be used in the planning stage to call the image of the instruments and in the procedure to increment the planned tasks.

[0026] Referring to Figure 1, the system 10 includes an imaging device 22 for providing medical images 24, such as X-ray, fluoroscopic, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging of the patient's 12 anatomical region of the operation and the relative location of the instruments 16 and implants 20. Generally, a C-arm, which provides X-ray and fluoroscopic images 24, is used as the imaging device 22. The C-arm can be positioned in the most convenient location for the procedure being carried out, while allowing the user 18, the maximum possible space in which to work so that the procedures can be freely executed. The C-arm 22 features movement about or along three axes, so that the patient 12 can be easily approached from any direction. The C-arm 22 includes an X-ray source 21, an X-ray detector 23 and imaging software that converts the output of the detector into a format that can be imaged on display screen 25 for displaying the images 24 to the user 18.

[0027] Radiation exposure is a necessary part of any procedure for obtaining an image to assist in calculating the proper angle of the instruments 16 and implants 20, however, radiation exposure is considered to be a hazard, an exposure to the user 18 as well as the patient 12 during orthopaedic procedures using fluoroscopy is a universal concern. Consequently, a reduction in the amount of radiation exposure is highly desirable. Typically, the images 24 are acquired during pre-planning and stored in a image memory 29 on a computing device 26 coupled to the C-arm 22. As will be explained further below, the acquired images 24 are referenced to a 3D coordinate framework. This may be done automatically by referencing the image 24 to the

framework when acquiring the image 24 or manually by formatting the image 24 to contain fiducials, either inherent from the imaged structure or added in the form of an opaque marker to permit registration between the images 24 and patients. Generally, the computing device 26 is contained within a housing and includes input/output interfaces such as graphical user interface display 28 and input means such as mouse and a keyboard.

[0028] To facilitate the performance of the operation, the position and orientation of the operative instruments 16 and implants 20 is displayed on the images 24 by monitoring the relative positions of the patient 12, instruments 16 and implants 20. For this purpose, movement of the patient 12 is monitored by a plurality of positional sensors or patient trackers 30 as illustrated in Figure 4 attached to the patient 12 to report the location of orientation of the patient 12's anatomy in a 3-D space. One example of the position sensor is a passive optical sensor, by NDI Polaris, Waterloo, Ontario, that allows real-time tracking of its trackers in three-dimensional space using an infrared-based camera tracking 27. Therefore, the patient trackers 30 report these coordinates to an application program 32 of the computing device 26. Each patient tracker 30 is fixed relative to the operative site, and a plurality of patient trackers 30 are used to accommodate relative movement between various parts of the patient's 12 anatomy. For minimal invasive surgery, the patient trackers 30 used can have minimal access for attachment to the patient 12.

[0029] To enable registration between the patient and the image 24 during the procedure, position sensors 32 are placed in distinctive patterns on the C-arm 22. A tracking shield and grid 34, such as fiducial grid 34, are fitted onto the image intensifier of the C-arm 22. The grid 34 contains a set of markers 36 that are visible in images 24, and allow the image 24 projection to be determined accurately. The position sensors 36 with the tracked fiducial grid 32 are used to calibrate and/or register medical images 24 by fixing the position of the grid relative to the patient trackers 30 at the time the image 24 is acquired.

[0030] The system 10 also includes hardware and electronics used to synchronize the moment of images 24 acquisition to the tracked position of the patient 12 and/or imaging device 22. The systems 10 also includes electronics to communicate signals

from the position sensors 30, 36, 38 or communicate measurements or information to the computing device 26 or electronics to the computing device 26 or other part of the system 10.

[0031] The instruments 16 also include positional sensors 38, or instrument trackers that provide an unambiguous position and orientation of the instruments. This allows the movement of the instruments 16 to be tracked virtually represented on the images 24 in the application program while performing the procedure. Some instruments 16 are designed specifically for the navigation system 10, while existing orthopedic instruments 16 can be adapted to work with the navigation system 10 by rigidly attaching trackers 34 to some part of the instrument 16 so that they become visible to the camera. By virtue of a tracker attached to an instrument, the position and trajectory of the instrument in the 3D coordinate system, and therefore relative to the patient can be determined. The trackers 38 fit onto the instruments 16 in a reproducible location so that their relation can be pre-calibrated. Verification that this attachment has not changed is provided with a verification device. Such a verification device contains "docking stations" where the instruments 16 can be positioned repeatedly relative to fixed locations and orientations. Existing instruments can be adapted by securing abutments on to the surgical instruments in a known position/orientation with respect to the instrument's axes. The calibration can be done by registering the position when in the docking station with a calibration device and storing and associating this calibration information with the particular docking station.

[0032] Alternatively, the docking station could be mechanically designed such that it has a unique position for the instrument in the docking station and such that the calibration information could be determined through the known details and configuration of the instrument.

[0033] Accordingly, the instrument and its associated tracker, can be removed from the docking station and its position monitored.

[0034] Similarly, the implants 20 include trackers 36 which may be integrated in to the implant or detachably secured so as to be disposable after insertion. The trackers 36 provide positional information of the implant 20 detectable by the system 10. The devices 36 transmit a signal to the tracking system 27 regarding their identity and

position. The trackers on the devices 36 may include embedded electronics for measurement, computing and display allowing them to calculate and display values to the system 10 or directly to the user and may include a user-activated switch.

[0035] Images 24 of the patient 12 are taken and landmarks identified after patient trackers are rigidly mounted and before surgical patient positioning and draping on a surgical table 14. The images 24 are manually or automatically "registered" or "calibrated" by identification of the landmarks on both the patient and image 24. Since the images 24 are registered and saved on the computer readable medium of the computing device with respect to the tracker location, no more imaging may be required, unless required during the procedure. Therefore there is minimal radiation exposure to the user 18.

[0036] To assist in the planning of the procedure, the computing device of the system 10 includes stored images 24 of implants and instruments compatible to the imaging system utilised. With an X-ray device, the images 24 are generated by an algorithm for generating a 2D projection of instruments 16 and implants 22 onto 2D X-ray images 24. This involves algorithms that take the 3D CAD information and generate a 2D template that resembles templates that surgeons 18 are familiar with for planning. For example, the projection of the 3D femoral stem and acetabular cup model onto the X-ray is performed using a contour-projection method that produces the dynamic template that has some characteristics similar to the standard 2D templates used by surgeons 28, and therefore is more intuitive.

[0037] The "dynamic 2D template" from the 3D model provides both the exact magnification and orientation of the planned implant on the acquired image 24 to provide an intuitive visual interface. A 2D template generation algorithm uses the 3D geometry of the implant, and 3D-2D processing to generate a projection of the template onto the calibrated X-ray image 24. The 2D template has some characteristics similar to those provided by implant manufacturers to orthopaedic surgeons for planning on planar X-ray films. The application program 32 allows the user to maneuver the virtual images 24 of prosthetic components or implants until the optimum position is obtained. The surgeon can dynamically change the size of component among those available until the optimum configuration is obtained.

[0038] To facilitate the actual procedure, the system 10 also automatically detects implant and/or instrument models, by reading the bar codes carried by the implants. The system 10 includes a bar code reader that automatically or semi-automatically recognizes a cooled opto-reflecting bar code on an implant 20 package by bringing it in the vicinity of a bar code reader of the system 10. The implants are loaded into the system 10 and potentially automatically registered as a "used inventory" item. This information is used for the purposes of inventory control within a software package that could be connected to the supplier's inventory control system that could use this information to remotely track supplier and also replenished when a system 10 indicates that it has been used. Each of the implants carries trackers that are used to determine the orientation and position relative to the patient and display that on the display 28 as an overlay of the patient image 24.

[0039] It is recognized that other active/passive tracking systems could be used, if desired. The tracking system 27 can be, but is not limited to optical, magnetic, ultrasound, etc. Could also include hardware, electronics or internet connections that are used for purposes, such as remote diagnostics, training, service, maintenance and software upgrades. Other tracking means electrically energizeable emitters, reflective markers, magnetic sensors or other locating means.

[0040] Each surgical procedure includes a series of steps such that there is a workflow associated with each procedure. Typically, these steps or tasks are completed in sequence. For each procedure the workflow is recorded by a workflow engine 38 in coupled to the application program 32. Thus, the system 10 can guide the user 18 by prompting the user 18 to perform the task of the workflow or the user 18 directs the workflow to be followed by the system 10 by recognizing the tracked instruments 16 as chosen by the user 18. Generally, a combination of both guided workflows are possible in any given procedure. Thus, the user 18 can trigger an action for a specific workflow task. When the system 10 detects that a given task of the procedure has been invoked, it displays the required information for that procedure, pertinent measurements, and/or medical images 24. The system 10 also automatically completes user 18 input fields to specify certain information or actions. The guide also alerts the user 18 if a step of the workflow has been by-passed.

[0041] The tasks of the procedure are invoked by the user 18 interacting with the system 10 via an interface sub-system 40. The user 18 includes position sensors 42 or user trackers, typically mounted on the user's 18 hand. These sensors 42 provide tracking of user's 18 position and orientation. Generally, a hand input device 44 with attached tracker 42 or an electroresistive sensing glove is used to report the flexion and abduction of each of the fingers, along with wrist motion. Thus, each task of the workflow is associated with hand gestures, the paradigm being gesturally-based hand gestures to indicate the desired operation.

[0042] Hand gestures may also be used during planning. For example, the user 18 could make the "drill" gesture and the corresponding image 24, i.e. a virtual drill is called from the instrument image database and applied to the patient 12 data (hip) in the environment. Similarly, a sawing motion invokes the femoral proximal cut guidance mode, while a twisting motion invokes a reamer guidance mode and shows a rasp to invoke the leg length and anteversion guidance mode. Hand gestures may also be used during the surgical procedure to invoke iteration of the work flow steps or other action required.

[0043] Prior to the start of the procedure, a plurality of hand gestures are performed by the user 18, recorded by the computing device 22, and associated with a desired action and coupled to the pertinent images 24, measurement data and any other information specific to that workflow step. Therefore, if during the procedure, the user 18 performs any of the recorded gestures to invoke the desired actions of the workflow; the camera detects the hand motion gesture via the position sensors 42 and sends this information to the workflow engine for the appropriate action. Similarly, the system 10 is responsive to the signal provided by the individual instruments 16, and, responds to the appearance of the instruments in the field of vision to initiate actions in the work flow. The gestures may include a period of time in which an instrument is held stationary or may be combinations of gestures to invoke certain actions.

[0044] The steps for a typical method of a computer assisted surgery system 10 will now be described with the aid of a flowchart in Figure 5.

[0045] Initially, patient trackers 30 are attached onto the patient 12 by suitably qualified medical personnel 18, and not necessarily by a surgeon 18. This attachment of

trackers may be done while the patient 12 is under general anesthesia using local sterilization. The patient image 24 is obtained using the C-arm 22 or similar imaging technique, so that either registration occurs automatically or characteristic markers or fiducials may be observed in the image 24. The markers may be readily recognized attributes of the anatomy being imaged, or may be opaque "buttons" that are placed on the patient.

[0046] The next step 102 involves calibrating the positional sensors or trackers on the instruments 16, implants 20 and a user's 18 hand in order to determine their position in a 3-dimensional space and their position in relation to each other. This is accomplished by insertion of the verification block that gives absolute position and orientation.

[0047] In the next step 104, a plurality of hand gestures are performed by the user 18 and recorded by the computing device 22. These hand gestures are associated with a desired action of the workflow protocol;

[0048] Registration is then performed if necessary between the image and patient by touching each fiducial on the patient and image in succession. In this way, the image is registered in the 3D framework established by the cameras so that the relative movement between the instruments and patient can be displayed.

[0049] The next steps involve planning of the procedure. At step 110 the position of the patient's 12 anatomical region is registered. This step includes the sub-steps of tracking that patient's 12 anatomical region in space and numerically mapping it to a corresponding medical image 24 of that anatomy. This step is performed by locating some anatomical landmarks on the patient's 12 anatomical region with the 3D tracking system 27 and in the corresponding medical image 24 and calculating the transformation between 3D tracking and medical image 24 coordinate systems.

[0050] At step 112, the 2D templates of the instruments and implants generate a projection of the template onto the calibrated 2D X-ray image 24 in real time. The "dynamic 2D template" from the 3D model provides both the exact magnification and orientation of the planned implant with the intuitive visual interface. This step also includes generating a 2D projection of instruments 16 onto 2D X-ray image 24. The instruments 16 to be used on the patient 12 while performing the procedure are virtually

represented on the images 24, and so are the implants. The 3D implant and instrument geometric models in combination are used with the registered medical images 24, and the generating 2D projections of that instrument and/or implant are updated dynamically in real-time as the implant/instrument is moved about in 3D space. Advantageously, the dynamic 2D projection is more intuitive and provides ease of use for a user 18. As the steps of the procedure are simulated, datums or references may be recorded on the image 24 to assist in the subsequent procedure.

[0051] In the next 114, a path for the navigation of the procedure is set and the pertinent images 24 of the patient's 12 anatomical region are compiled for presentation to the user 18 on a display. Thus the user 18 is presented with a series of workflow steps to be followed in order to perform the procedure.

[0052] After the planning stages, the procedure is started at step 116 by detecting a desired action from the user's hand gestures stored on said computer-readable medium; or from the positional information of a tracked instrument with respect to the tracking system 27 or other tracked device, or a combination of these two triggers;

[0053] The next step 118 involves performing the desired action in accordance with the pre-set path. However, the user 18 may deviate from the pre-set path or workflow steps in which case the system 10 alerts the user 18 of such an action. The system 10 provides visual, auditory or other sensory feedback to indicate when that the surgeon 18 is off the planned path. The 2D images 24 are updated, along with virtual representation of the implant 20 and instrument 16 positioning, and relevant measurements to suit the new user 18 defined path. After each step in the work flow, the user 18 increments the task list by gesturing or by selection of a different instrument. During the procedure, the references previously recorded provide feedback to the user 18 to correctly position and orientate the instruments and implants.

[0054] The method and system 10 for computer assisted surgery will now be described with regards to specific examples of hip and knee replacement. Hip replacement involves replacement of the hip joint by a prosthesis that contains two main components namely an acetabular and femoral component. The system 10 can be used to provide information on the optimization of implant component positioning of the acetabular component and/or the femoral component. The acetabular and femoral

components are typically made of several parts, including for example inlays for friction surfaces, and these parts come in different sizes, thicknesses and lengths. The objective of this surgery is to help restore normal hip function which involves avoidance of impingement and proper leg length restoration and femoral anteversion setting.

[0055] In a total Hip or MIS Hip replacement guidance method, the clinical workflow starts with attachment of MIS ex-fix style patient trackers 30 in figure 5 on the patient's 12 back while under general anesthesia using local sterilization. The pins that fix the tracker to the underlying bone can be standard external fixation devices available on the market onto which a patient tracker is clamped. The user 18 interface of the system 10 prompts the user 18 to obtain the images 24 required for that surgery and associates the images 24 with the appropriate patient tracker 30. Once the images 24 have been acquired, the patient trackers 30 are maintained in a fixed position so that they cannot move relative to the corresponding underlying bone.

[0056] The system 10 presents images 24 that are used to determine a plurality of measurements, such as the trans-epicondylar axis of the femur for femoral anteversion measurements. Femoral anteversion is defined by the angle between a plane defined by the trans-epicondylar axis and the long axis of the femur and the vector of the femoral neck. To determine the orientation of the transcondylar axis of the femur, the C-arm 22 is aligned until the medial and lateral femoral condyles overlap in the sagittal view. This view is a known reference position of the femur that happens to pass through the transcondylar axis. The orientation of the X-ray image 24 is calculated by the system 10 and stored in the computer readable medium for later use. The transcondylar axis is one piece of the information used to calculate femoral anteversion.

[0057] The system 10 includes intra-operative planning of the acetabular and femoral component positioning to help choose the right implant components, achieve the desired anteversion/inclination angle of the cup, anteversion and position of the femoral stem for restoration of patient 12 leg length and anteversion and to help avoid of hip impingement. Acetabular cup alignment is guided by identifying 3 landmarks on the pelvis that defines the pelvic co-ordinate system 10. These landmarks can be the left & right cases and pubis symphysis (See Figure 6)

[0058] The position of the landmarks can be defined in a number of ways. One way is to use a single image 24 to refine the digitized landmark in the ante-posterior (AP) plane, as it is easier to obtain an AP image 24 of the hip than a lateral one due to X-ray attenuation through soft tissue. This involves moving the landmark within the plane of the image 24 without affecting its "depth" with respect to the X-ray direction of that image 24, as it is easier to obtain a single AP image 24 of the pelvis due to X-ray attenuation of the lateral image 24. The user 18 is made aware that the depth of the landmark must have been accurately defined through palpation or bi-planar digitization. Use of single X-ray images 24 can be used to ensure that the left and right axes are at the same "height" with respect to their respective pelvic crests and to ensure that the pubis symphysis landmark is well centered.

[0059] Alternatively, bi-planar reconstruction from two non-parallel images 24 of a given landmark can be used. This helps to minimize invasive localization of a landmark hidden beneath soft tissue or inaccessible due to patient 12 draping or positioning. The difference between modifying a landmark through bi-planar reconstruction and modifying the landmark position with the new single X-ray image 24 technique is that in bi-planar reconstruction, modification influences the landmark's position along an "x-ray beam" originating from the other image 24, whereas the single X-ray image 24 modification restricts landmark modification to the plane of that image 24.

[0060] The pelvic co-ordinate system 10 is used to calculate an anteversion/inclination angle of a cup positioner for desired cup placement. This can also be used to calculate and guide an acetabular reamer. The system 10 displays the anteversion/inclination angle to the user 18 along with a projection of the 3D cup position on X-ray images 24 of the hip. The details of calculations can be seen in figure 6.

[0061] For minimal invasive procedures, the system 10 provides navigation of a saw that is used to resect the femoral head. This step is performed before the acetabular cup guidance to gain access to the acetabulum. The system 10 displays the relevant C-arm 22 images 24 required for navigation of the saw and display the saw's position in real-time on those images 24. Guidance may be required for determining the height of

the femoral cut. The system 10 then displays the relevant images 24 for femoral reaming and displays the femoral reamer. If the user 18 has selected an implant size at the beginning or earlier in the procedure, the system 10 displays the reamer corresponding to this implant size. Note that since reaming process starts with smaller reamers and works it's way up to the implant size, the virtual representation of the reamer will be larger than the actual reamer until the implant size is reached (for example for a size 12 implant, the surgeon 18 will start with a 8-9mm reamer and work up in 1-2mm increments in reamer size). This virtual representation allows the surgeon 18 to see if the selected implant size fits within the femoral canal. Secondly, it can help avoid the user 18 having to change the virtual representation on the UI for each reamer change which often occurs very quickly during surgery (time saving). The user 18 is able to change the reamer diameter manually if required.

[0062] The system 10 assists in guiding the orientation of the femoral reaming in order to avoid putting the stem in crooked or worse notching the intra-medullary canal, which can cause later femoral fracture,. A virtual representation of the reamer and a virtual tip extension of the reamer are provided so the surgeon 18 can align the reamer visually on the X-ray images 24 to pass through the centre of the femoral canal. The system 10 allows the surgeon 18 to set a current reamer path as the target path. The system 10 provides a sound warning if subsequent reamers are not within a certain tolerance of this axis direction.

[0063] The femoral anteversion calculation is described below with the aid of Figure 6:

where n_{probe} be a unit vector, pointing from the tip of the cup impactor towards the handle, and

$n_{frontal}$, n_{axial} , and $n_{sagittal}$, are unit vectors that are normal to the three orthogonal planes that form the pelvic co-ordinate system.

$n_{frontal}$ be a unit vector, normal to the frontal plane of the patient 12, whose sense is from the posterior to the anterior of the patient 12.

n_{axial} be a unit vector, normal to the axial plane of the patient 12, whose sense is from the inferior to the superior of the patient 12.

n_{sagittal} be a unit vector, normal to the sagittal plane of the patient 12, whose sense is from patient 12 right to patient 12 left.

Let α represent the anteversion.

Let β represent the inclination.

$$v_{\text{probe_frontal}} = (n_{\text{probe}} \cdot n_{\text{axial}}) n_{\text{axial}} + (n_{\text{probe}} \cdot n_{\text{sagittal}}) n_{\text{sagittal}}$$

$$n_{\text{probe_frontal}} = v_{\text{probe_frontal}} / |v_{\text{probe_frontal}}|$$

$$\alpha = 90 - \cos^{-1}(n_{\text{frontal}} \cdot n_{\text{probe}})$$

$$\beta = \text{sign} \times \{180 - \cos^{-1}(n_{\text{axial}} \cdot n_{\text{probe_frontal}})\}$$

where:

For a left hip 'sign' is positive unless $n_{\text{probe_frontal}} \cdot n_{\text{sagittal}} < 0$.

For a right hip 'sign' is positive unless $n_{\text{probe_frontal}} \cdot n_{\text{sagittal}} > 0$.

[0064] The system 10 also provides a technique for obtaining the trans-epicondylar axis of the femur. An accepted radiological reference of the femur is the X-ray view where the distal and posterior femoral condyles overlap. The direction of this view also happens to be the trans-epicondylar axis. The fluoro-based system 10 tracks the position of the image 24 intensifier to determine the central X-ray beam direction through C-arm 22 image calibration. The epicondylar axis is obtained by acquiring a C-arm 22 image that aligns the femoral condyles in the sagittal plane and recording the relative position of the C-arm 22 central X-ray beam with respect to the patient tracker.

[0065] Once these vectors are defined in the workflow, the system 10 will provide real-time update of femoral anteversion for a femoral rasp and femoral implant guides. A femoral rasp is an instrument inserted into the reamed femoral axis and used to rasp out the shape of the femoral implant. It is also possible to provide femoral anteversion measurements for other devices that may be used for anteversion positioning (for example the femoral osteotome). The system 10 also updates in real-time the effect of rasp or implant position on leg length. Leg Length is calculated in three steps. In the first step, before the hip is dislocated, the distance between a femoral tracker, T_f , and a pelvic tracker, T_p , are obtained. Therefore, the initial distance, $L_i = (T_f - T_p) \cdot n_a$

[0066] The second step of the process involves calculating the new leg length fraction attributed to the acetabular cup position, L_c . Once the cup has been placed, the position of the cup impactor, P_i , is stored. After the acetabular cup shell and liner have been selected, the exact location of the center of rotation along the impactor axis, P_c is obtained from the 3D models of the implants. The center of rotation is then projected onto the pelvic normal and relative to the pelvic tracker, and the length attributed by cup position, $L_c = P_c \cdot n_a$

[0067] In the next step, the new leg length fraction attributed to the femoral stem position, L_s , is obtained. After selection of the desired stem and head implants, the precise location of the femoral head is obtained from the 3D models of the implants, P_h . As the femur is being rasped, the length is continuously calculated along the anatomical axis of the femur, V_{femur} , relative to the femoral tracker, T_f by monitoring the position of the reamer. The length attributed to stem position, $L_s = P_h \cdot V_{femur}$

[0068] The implant models and components can be changed "on the fly" and the resulting effect on the above parameters displayed in real-time by the computer-implemented system 10. As indicated in figure 10, the application program implements algorithms which take into consideration changes in parameters such as component shape size and thickness to recalculate leg length and anteversion angles. Intra-operative planning may be important in hips or knees where bone quality is not well known until the patient 12 is open and changes in prosthesis size and shape may need to be performed intra-operatively. When a new component is chosen or when the surgeon 18 rasps further down into the femur than planned, due to poorer than expected bone quality for example, the system 10 will automatically generate updated leg length measurements and anteversion angles so that in situ decisions can be made. For example if the surgeon 18 has rasped too far into the femur, which would result in a leg length loss, the system 10 could be used to see if a larger sized femoral neck length or larger size femoral implant could be used to maintain the correct leg length.

[0069] The system 10 also calculates potential impingement in real-time between femoral and acetabular components based on the recorded acetabular cup position and the current femoral stem anteversion. Implant-implant impingement calculation is based on the fact that the artificial joint is a well-defined ball and socket joint. Knowing the

acetabular component and femoral stem component geometry, one can calculate for which clinical angles impingement will occur. If impingement can occur within angles that the individual is expected to use, then the surgeon 18 is warned of potential impingement. Once the acetabular component has been set, the only remaining degree of freedom to avoid impingement is the femoral anteversion.

[0070] As mentioned above, the system 10 generates a 2D projection of implants onto 2D X-ray image 24 to provide the surgeon 18 with a more familiar representation, as shown in Figure 11. The 2D projection model would be updated as the implant is rotated in 3D space.

[0071] The system 10 can also optionally record information such as the position of the femoral component of the implant or bony landmarks and use this information to determine acetabular cup alignment that minimizes the probability of implant impingement. This can help guide an exact match between acetabular and femoral anteversion for component alignment. The system 10 can help guide the femoral reamer that prepares a hole down the femoral long axis for femoral component placement to avoid what is termed femoral notching that can lead to subsequent femoral fracture. The system 10 provides information such as a virtual representation of the femoral reamer on one or more calibrated fluoroscopy views, and the surgeon 18 can optionally set a desired path on the image 24 or through the tracking system 27, and includes alerts indicative of the surgeon 18 straying from the planned path.

[0072] The system 10 guides the femoral rasp and provides femoral axis alignment information such as for the femoral reamer above. The chosen rasp position usually defines the anteversion angle of the femoral component (except for certain modular devices that allow setting of femoral anteversion independently). Femoral anteversion of the implant is calculated by the system 10 using information generated by a novel X-ray fluoroscopy-based technique and tracked rasp or implant position. It is known that an X-ray image 24 that superimposes the posterior condyles defines the trans-epicondylar axis orientation. If the fiducial calibration grid 34 is at a known orientation with respect to the X-ray plane in the tracking system 27 (either through design of the fiducial grid 34 or through tracking of both the fiducial grid 34 and the C-arm 22), the system 10 knows the image 24 orientation and hence the trans-epicondylar axis in the

tracking co-ordinate system 10. The system 10 then can provide the surgeon 18 with real-time feedback on implant anteversion based on planned or actual implant position with respect to this trans-epicondylar axis. Alternative methods of obtaining the trans-epicondylar axis include direct digitization or functional rotation of the knee using the tracking device.

[0073] Proper femoral anteversion is typically important to help avoid impingement, as is the anteversion/inclination angle of the acetabular component. Since impingement occurs due to the relative orientation between the acetabular and femoral components, the system 10 optimizes femoral anteversion based on the acetabular component orientation if the latter was recorded by the tracking 27 as described above.

[0074] The effect of implant position on leg length, femoral anteversion and "impingement zone" is updated in real-time with the planned or actual implant position taking into account the chosen acetabular component position. Implant model and components can be changed "on the fly" and used by the surgeon 18 through and the resulting effect on the above parameters displayed in real-time.

[0075] The technology involves "intelligent instruments" that, in combination with the computer, "know what they are supposed to do" and guide the surgeon 18. The system 10 also follows the natural workflow of the surgery based on a priori knowledge of the surgical steps and automatic or semi-automatic detection of desired workflow steps. For example, the system 10 provides the required images 24 and functionality for the surgical step invoked by a gesture. Specific examples of gestures within the hip replacement surgery include picking up the cup positioner to provide the surgeon 18 with navigation of cup anteversion/inclination to within one degree (based on identification of the left & right axes and pubis symphysis landmarks), picking up the reamer and the rasp will also provides the appropriate images 24 and functionality, while picking up the saw will provide interface for location and establishment of the height that the femoral head will be cut. The surgeon 18 can skip certain steps and modify workflow flexibly by invoking gestures for a given step. The system 10 manages the inter-relationships between the different surgical steps such as storing data obtained at a certain step and prompting the user 18 to enter information required for certain.

[0076] Disposable components for a hip instrumentation set include a needle pointer, a saw tracker, an optional cup reamer tracker, a cup impactor tracker, a drill tracker (for femoral reamer tracking), a rasp handle tracker, a implant tracker, and a calibration block.

[0077] In another example, the system 10 is used for a uni-condylar knee replacement. The uni-knee system 10 can be used without any images 24 or with fluoro-imaging to identify the leg's mechanical axes. The system 10 allows definition of hip, knee and ankle center using palpation, center of rotation calculation or bi-planar reconstruction.

[0078] The leg varus/valgus is displayed in real-time to help choose a uni-compartmental correction or spacer. The surgeon 18 increases the spacer until the desired correction is achieved. Once the correction is achieved, the cutting jig is put into place for the femoral cut. The tibial cuts and femoral cuts can be planned "virtually" based on the recorded femoral cutting jig position before burring. In the case of a system 10 that uses a burr to prepare the bone, two new methods for guiding the burr are particularly beneficial. The first is a "free-hand" guide that tracks the burr. A cutting plane or curve is set by digitizing 3 or more points on the bone surface that span the region to be burred. The system 10 displays a color map representing the burr depth in that region and the color is initially all green. The desired burr depth is also set by the user. As the surgeon 18 burrs down, the color at that position on the colormap turns yellow, orange then red when the burr is within 1mm of desired depth (black will indicate that burr has gone too far). The suggested workflow is to "borrow" burr holes at the limits of the area to be burred down to the red zone under computer guidance. The surgeon 18 then burrs in between these holes only checking the computer when he/she is unsure of the depth. The system 10 can also provide sound or vibration feedback to indicate burring depth.

[0079] A small local display or heads-up display can help the surgeon 18 concentrate on the local situs while burring. For the curved surface of the femur, the colormap represents the burr depth along a curve.

[0080] The second method presented is a passive burr-guide. The following is an example: a cutting jig has one to four base pins and holds a "burr-depth guide" that

restricts burr depth to the curved (in femur) or flat (in tibia) implant. The position and orientation of this device is computer guided (for example by controlling height of burr guide on four posts that place it onto the bone). The burr is run along this burr guide to resect the required bone. As in the hip replacement procedure, the patient trackers 30 are positioned similarly.

[0081] The system 10 can also be linked to a pre-operative planning system in a novel manner. Pre-operative planning can be performed on 2D images 24 (from an X-ray) or in a 3D dataset (from a CT scan). These images 24 are first corrected for magnification and distortion if necessary. The implant templates or models are used to plan the surgery with respect to manually or automatically identified anatomical landmarks. The pre-operative plan can be registered to the intra-operative system 10 through a registration scheme such as corresponding landmarks in the pre and intra-operative images 24. Other surface and contour-based methods are also alternative registration methods. In the case of hip replacement, for example, the center of the femoral head and the femoral neck axis provide such landmarks that can be used for registration. Once these landmarks have been identified intra-operatively, the system 10 can position the planned implant position automatically, which saves time in surgery. The plan can be refined intra-operatively based on the particular situation, for example if bone quality is not as good as anticipated and a larger implant is required.

[0082] Although the invention has been described with reference to certain specific embodiments, various modifications thereof will be apparent to those skilled in the art without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as outlined in the claims appended hereto.

**THE EMBODIMENTS OF THE INVENTION IN WHICH AN EXCLUSIVE
PROPERTY OR PRIVILEGE IS CLAIMED ARE DEFINED AS FOLLOWS:**

1. A computer-implemented method for enhancing interaction between a user and a surgical computer assisted system, the method includes the steps of:
tracking a user's hand gestures with respect to a reference point;
registering a plurality of gesturally-based hand gestures and storing said gestures on a computer-readable medium;
associating each of said plurality of gesturally-based hand gestures with a desired action;
detecting a desired action by referencing said user's hand gestures stored on said computer-readable medium; and
performing the desired action.
2. A method of claim 1 wherein said hand gestures that is tracked by a tracking system through an instrument in the user's hand.
3. A method of claim 2 where said instrument includes a tracking module for providing identification of said instrument, said identification being recognizable by the tracking system.
4. A method of claim 3 wherein said instrument is associated with a desired action.
5. A method of claim 4 wherein said desired action is detected by analyzing timing information related to an amount of time the instrument is held in a certain position with respect to reference point.
6. The method of claim 1 wherein said a desired action can detected from combination of hand gestures.
7. A method of claim 1 wherein the hand gestures are associated with desired actions in a workflow of the user.
8. A method of claim 7 wherein said workflow includes a set of desired steps and when the system detects that a given step of the procedure has been invoked, it configures a user interface to provide the required information, such as measurements and/or medical images, and provides required functionality, such as user input fields to specify certain information or actions.

9. The method of claim 8 wherein the user can access any step of the procedure in any given order, and the system prompts the user to pass to a subsequent step if there is missing information.
10. A method of claim 1 where the system automatically detects an implant and/or instrument model.
11. A method of claim 10 wherein the said implant is encoded with the identifying information.
12. A method of claim 11 wherein said implant has a bar code readable by a bar code reader.
13. A method according to claim 12 wherein said implant package has a coded opto-reflecting bar-code information recognizable by the tracking system.
14. A method of claim 11 wherein said implant having been detected by the system is automatically registered as a "used inventory" item.
15. A method of a computer assisted surgery system to reduce user interaction by determining information for a surgical procedure from the orientation of a medical image from an imaging device.
16. A method of claim 15 wherein information regarding the orientation of the medical image is obtained by tracking said imaging device or tracking of a fiducial object associated with said imaging device and visible in the image.
17. A method of claim 16 wherein the orientation of the imaging device is used to determine the medio-lateral axis of a femur, said axis being used for biomechanical calculations of a leg.
18. A method of a computer assisted surgery system including the steps of attaching minimal invasive patient trackers to a patient, acquiring intraoperative images with respect to said patient trackers, registering said intraoperative images to those trackers and storing said intraoperative images on a computer readable medium, whereby said intraoperative images are used during surgery precluding the use of said imaging device during surgery.
19. A method of a computer assisted surgery system having the step of displaying a magnified virtual representation of a target instrument or implant size while smaller instruments or implants are being used.

20. A method of assisting a surgical procedure by obtaining an image of a portion of a patient on whom the procedure is to be performed,
registering said image and said patient in a three dimensional coordinate system,
monitoring movement of said patient in said three dimensional coordinate system,
monitoring movement of an instrument to be used in said procedure,
superimposing a representation of said instrument of said image and adjusting relative positions thereof on said image as the relative position of said instrument and said patient vary,
monitoring movement of an implant to be used in said procedure and supervising a representation thereof on said image and adjusting the relative position thereof on said image as the relative position of said implant and patient varies.
21. A method according to claim 20 wherein reference data is provided on said image to assist in positioning said instrument and/or implant.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)




Figure 4




Figure 5





Figure 6



Let n_{probe} be a unit vector, pointing from the tip of the cup impactor towards the handle.

Let $n_{frontal}$, n_{axial} , and $n_{sagittal}$ be unit vectors that are normal to the three orthogonal planes that form the pelvic co-ordinate system.

Let $n_{frontal}$ be a unit vector, normal to the frontal plane of the patient, whose sense is from the posterior to the anterior of the patient.

Let n_{axial} be a unit vector, normal to the axial plane of the patient, whose sense is from the inferior to the superior of the patient.

Let $n_{sagittal}$ be a unit vector, normal to the sagittal plane of the patient, whose sense is from patient right to patient left.

Let α represent the anteversion.

Let β represent the inclination.

$$v_{probe_frontal} = (n_{probe} \cdot n_{axial}) n_{axial} + (n_{probe} \cdot n_{sagittal}) n_{sagittal}$$

$$n_{probe_frontal} = v_{probe_frontal} / |v_{probe_frontal}|$$

$$\alpha = 90 - \cos^{-1}(n_{frontal} \cdot n_{probe})$$

$$\beta = \text{sign} \times (180 - \cos^{-1}(n_{axial} \cdot n_{probe_frontal}))$$

where:

For a left hip 'sign' is positive unless $n_{probe_frontal} \cdot n_{sagittal} < 0$.

For a right hip 'sign' is positive unless $n_{probe_frontal} \cdot n_{sagittal} > 0$.

Figure 7




Figure 8




Figure 9

10/11




Figure 10




Figure 11

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
31 December 2003 (31.12.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/001569 A3

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **G06F 3/00,**
A61B 19/00

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/CA2003/000947

(22) International Filing Date: 23 June 2003 (23.06.2003)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/390,188 21 June 2002 (21.06.2002) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): CEDARA
SOFTWARE CORP. [CA/CA]; 6509 Airport Road, Mis-
sissauga, Ontario L4V 1S7 (CA).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): SATI, Marwan
[CA/CA]; 3355 Spirea Terrace, Mississauga, Ontario L5N

7N6 (CA). CROITORU, Haniel [CA/CA]; 420 Ellerslie
Avenue, Toronto, Ontario M2R 1C2 (CA). TATE, Peter
[CA/CA]; 450 St. David Street North, Fergus, Ontario
NIM 2K2 (CA). FU, Liqun [CA/CA]; 7369 Glamorgan
Way, Mississauga, Ontario L5N 7Z3 (CA).


(74) Agent: ORANGE, John, R. S.; McCarthy Tetrault LLP,
66 Wellington Street West, Suite 4700, Box 48, Toronto
Dominion Bank Tower, Toronto, Ontario M5K 1E6 (CA).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU,
CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH,
GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC,
LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW,
MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE,
SG, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ,
VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW),
Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),
European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE,
ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: COMPUTER ASSISTED SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MINIMAL INVASIVE HIP, UNI KNEE AND TOTAL KNEE
REPLACEMENT



(57) Abstract: As a general overview, the system (10) is used to assist the surgeon in performing an operation by acquiring and displaying an image of the patient. Subsequent movement of the patient and instruments is tracked and displayed on the image. Images of a selection of implants are stored by the system and may be called to be superimposed on the image. The surgical procedures may be planned using the images of the patient and instruments and implants and stored as a series of sequential tasks referred to defined datums, such as inclination or position. Gestures of the surgeon may be used in the planning stage to call the image of the instruments and in the procedure to increment the planned tasks.

WO 2004/001569 A3

WO 2004/001569 A3



SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:
3 June 2004

Published:

— with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/CA 03/00947

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 G06F3/00 A61B19/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 G06F A61B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	DE 198 45 028 A (SIEMENS AG) 8 June 2000 (2000-06-08)	1,6-9
Y	column 5, line 61 - column 6, line 45	10-13
Y	US 5 880 976 A (BLACKWELL MICHAEL K ET AL) 9 March 1999 (1999-03-09)	10
Y	column 9, line 26 - line 44	
Y	DE 199 60 020 A (MARMULLA RUEDIGER) 21 June 2001 (2001-06-21)	11-13
Y	column 2, line 32 - line 41	
A	US 6 201 984 B1 (FUNDA JANEZ ET AL) 13 March 2001 (2001-03-13)	1
A	column 6, line 23 - line 59	
A	DE 39 17 876 A (AESCULAP WERKE AG) 6 December 1990 (1990-12-06)	14
A	column 2, line 33 - line 64	

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

1 December 2003

Date of mailing of the international search report

08.03.2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Angeli, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/CA 03/00947

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☒ Claims Nos.: 15
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Rule 39.1(iv) PCT - Method for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery
2. ☒ Claims Nos.: 2-5, 15-17
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

see additional sheet

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☒ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
1, 6-14

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. claims: 1,6-14

A computer implemented method for enhancing interaction between a user and a surgical computer assisted system using hand gestures and bar code identification means.

2. claim: 19

A method for a computer assisted surgery system displaying a magnified virtual representation.

3. claims: 20,21

A method of assisting a surgical procedure by monitoring an implant and supervising a representation thereof on a beforehand obtained image of a patient.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box I.1

Claims Nos.: 15

Rule 39.1(iv) PCT - Method for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery

Continuation of Box I.2

Claims Nos.: 2-5, 15-17

The wording of claim 2 is not clear (Article 6 PCT), as there seems to be a grammatical error which renders claim 2, followed by dependent claims 3-5, incomprehensible (see especially "that is tracked").

The relation of the orientation of the image with respect to the imaging device in claim 15, followed by dependent claims 16 and 17, is not clear (Article 6 PCT). It is further not clear, what kind of information is extracted from the orientation (Article 6 PCT).

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/CA 03/00947

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
DE 19845028	A	08-06-2000	DE 19845028 A1	08-06-2000
US 5880976	A	09-03-1999	US 6205411 B1	20-03-2001
			US 5995738 A	30-11-1999
			US 6002859 A	14-12-1999
DE 19960020	A	21-06-2001	DE 19960020 A1	21-06-2001
			AU 2009701 A	25-06-2001
			DE 20022586 U1	20-12-2001
			WO 0143654 A1	21-06-2001
			EP 1237493 A1	11-09-2002
			EP 1371340 A1	17-12-2003
			US 2002183608 A1	05-12-2002
US 6201984	B1	13-03-2001	US 5417210 A	23-05-1995
			US 5402801 A	04-04-1995
			US 5976156 A	02-11-1999
			AT 173596 T	15-12-1998
			DE 69322202 D1	07-01-1999
			DE 69322202 T2	01-07-1999
			EP 0571827 A1	01-12-1993
			ES 2123586 T3	16-01-1999
			JP 2575586 B2	29-01-1997
			JP 6030896 A	08-02-1994
			US 5572999 A	12-11-1996
			US 5749362 A	12-05-1998
			US 5445166 A	29-08-1995
			US 5695500 A	09-12-1997
			US 5950629 A	14-09-1999
			US 6024695 A	15-02-2000
			US 5630431 A	20-05-1997
			US 6231526 B1	15-05-2001
			US 6547782 B1	15-04-2003
			US 5279309 A	18-01-1994
DE 3917876	A	06-12-1990	DE 3917876 A1	06-12-1990

Form PCT/SA/210 (patent family annex) (July 1992)

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
31 December 2003 (31.12.2003)


PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/001569 A3

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: G06F 3/00, A61B 19/00
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/CA2003/000947
- (22) International Filing Date: 23 June 2003 (23.06.2003)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data: 60/390,188 21 June 2002 (21.06.2002) US
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): CEDARA SOFTWARE CORP. [CA/CA]; 6509 Airport Road, Mississauga, Ontario L4V 1S7 (CA).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): SATI, Marwan [CA/CA]; 3355 Spirea Terrace, Mississauga, Ontario L5N 7N6 (CA). CROITORU, Haniel [CA/CA]; 420 Ellerslie Avenue, Toronto, Ontario M2R 1C2 (CA). TATE, Peter [CA/CA]; 450 St. David Street North, Fergus, Ontario N1M 2K2 (CA). FU, Liqun [CA/CA]; 7369 Glamorgan Way, Mississauga, Ontario L5N 7Z3 (CA).
- (74) Agent: ORANGE, John, R. S.; McCarthy Tetrault LLP, 66 Wellington Street West, Suite 4700, Box 48, Toronto Dominion Bank Tower, Toronto, Ontario M5K 1E6 (CA).
- (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- Published:
— with international search report
— with amended claims

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: COMPUTER ASSISTED SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MINIMAL INVASIVE HIP, UNI KNEE AND TOTAL KNEE REPLACEMENT



(57) Abstract: As a general overview, the system (10) is used to assist the surgeon in performing an operation by acquiring and displaying an image of the patient. Subsequent movement of the patient and instruments is tracked and displayed on the image. Images of a selection of implants are stored by the system and may be called to be superimposed on the image. The surgical procedures may be planned using the images of the patient and instruments and implants and stored as a series of sequential tasks referred to defined datums, such as inclination or position. Gestures of the surgeon may be used in the planning stage to call the image of the instruments and in the procedure to increment the planned tasks.

WO 2004/001569 A3

WO 2004/001569 A3



(88) Date of publication of the international search report:
3 June 2004

Date of publication of the amended claims: 15 July 2004

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

AMENDED CLAIMS

[Received by the International Bureau on 10 May 2004 (10.05.04):
original claims 1-21 replaced by amended claims 1-47]

**THE EMBODIMENT OF THE INVENTION IN WHICH AN EXCLUSIVE PROPERTY OR
PRIVELEGE IS CLAIMED ARE DEFINED AS THE FOLLOWING:**

1. A computer-implemented method for enhancing interaction between a user and a surgical computer assisted system, the method includes the steps of :

recognising a placement of a tracked object with respect to a reference point;

comparing the recognised object placement with a plurality of predefined object placements stored in a memory of the computer system, each of the predefined object placements associated with a desired action;

matching the recognised object placement with the corresponding desired action by referencing the stored predefined object placements; and

coordinating with the user the performance of the desired action.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the tracked object is selected from the group comprising a surgical instrument and a hand of the user.

3. The method of claim 2, wherein the placement information of the instrument is selected from the group comprising: position; orientation and movement as recognised by a tracking system.

4. The method of claim 2, wherein the placement of the user's hand includes orientation of the fingers of the hand as recognised by a tracking system.

5. The method of claim 4, wherein the predefined object placements are hand gestures.

6. The method of claim 5 further comprising the step of recognising the hand gestures of the hand that is tracked by the tracking system through the instrument located in the user's hand.

7. The method of claim 2 further comprising the step of providing identification of the instrument by a tracking system, said identification being recognizable by the tracking system.

8. The method of claim 7 further comprising the step of analyzing timing information related to an amount of time the instrument is held in a certain position with respect to the reference point, the amount of time associated the lack of movement is associated with the corresponding desired action.

9. The method of claim 5, wherein the associated desired action is associated with a hand gesture of the predefined object placements.

10. The method of claim 5 wherein the hand gestures are associated with the desired actions in a workflow of the user.

11. The method of claim 2 further comprising the step of detecting from a set of desired steps of a workflow, based on the recognised object placement, that a given step of the workflow has been invoked.

12. The method of claim 11 further comprising the step of configuring a user interface to provide

information related to the given step for interpretation by the user.

13. The method of claim 11 wherein the user can access a selected step of the workflow in a given order; and a user interface prompts the user to pass to a subsequent step if there is missing information.

14. The method of claim 2 further comprising the step of recognising the object selected from the group comprising an implant and the surgical instrument.

15. The method of claim 14, wherein the implant is encoded with identifying information.

16. The method of claim 15, wherein the implant has a bar code readable by a bar code reader coupled to the computer system.

17. The method according to claim 16, wherein the implant has a coded opto-reflecting bar-code information recognizable by a tracking system.

18. The method of claim 15 further comprising the step of registering said implant once having been detected by the computer system as a "used inventory" item.

19. The method of claim 2, wherein the desired action is related to a specific workflow task.

20. A surgical computer assisted system for enhancing interaction between a user and selected steps of a workflow, the system comprising:

a tracking system for recognising a placement of a tracked object with respect to a reference point;

a processor for comparing the recognised object placement with a plurality of predefined object placements stored in a memory, each of the predefined object placements associated with a desired action, and for matching the recognised object placement with the corresponding desired action by referencing the stored predefined object placements; and

a user interface for coordinating with the user the performance of the desired action.

21. A system for coordinating the placement of a plurality of interacting joint replacement components for reconstruction of a patient's joint, the system comprising:

a tracking system for recording a set of reference points coupled to the patient and for monitoring placement of an instrument relative to the joint, the reference points being located on either side of the joint for indicating a reference geometry of the joint;

a processor for coordinating the preferred placement of the components in the reconstructed joint and for coordinating the placement of the instrument, both of the placements being based on the

predefined dimensions of the components and the reference geometry of the joint; and

a display for displaying a feedback indicator to a user of the system, the feedback indicator for guiding the placement of the instrument relative to the joint;

wherein a virtual representation of the components and the instrument are configured for display on the display with representative images of the joint.

22. The system of claim 21, wherein the reference geometry provided by the reference points is selected from the group comprising a reference length and a reference angle.

23. The system of claim 22, wherein a feedback indicator is selected from the group comprising visual, auditory and other sensory indicators.

24. The system of claim 23, wherein the reference geometry is represented by the display as the feedback indicator.

25. The system of claim 22, wherein reference length is calculated as the distance between the pair of reference points.

26. The system of claim 25, wherein the preferred placement of the components is coordinated by a calculation relative to the reference length and the dimensions of the components such that the reconstructed joint length resembles the reference length.

27. The system of claim 26, wherein the reconstructed joint is selected from a group comprising a hip joint and a knee joint.

28. The system of claim 22, wherein the reference angle is calculated based on the reference points to represent the relative orientation between the patient's anatomy on either side of the joint.

29. The system of claim 28, wherein said preferred placement of the components is calculated with reference to the reference angle, the reference length and the dimensions of the components such that the reconstructed joint orientation resembles the reference angle.

30. The system of claim 28, wherein in a hip replacement surgery, the reference angle is a femoral anteversion angle, measured between a plane defined by a trans-epicondylar axis and a long axis of a

femur and a vector of the femoral neck.

31. The system of claim 23, wherein the feedback indicator is configured to guide the user's placement of the instrument selected from the group comprising: relative to an axis related to the preferred placement of the components; and relative to the reference geometry.

32. The system of claim 31, wherein the feedback indicator is configured to inform the user if the placement of the instrument is within a specified tolerance of the axis direction or the reference geometry.

33. The system of claim 32, wherein the feedback indicator is auditory.

34. The system of claim 23 wherein the feedback indicator is configured to inform the user of potential impingement of the replacement components.

35. The system of claim 21, wherein a magnified version of the virtual representation of the instrument is displayed on the display to correspond with the planned size of the replacement component.

36. A method for coordinating the preferred placement of a plurality of interacting joint replacement components for reconstruction of a patient's joint, the method comprising the steps of:

recording a pair of reference points coupled to the patient, the reference points being located on either side of the joint for indicating a reference geometry of the joint;

determining the preferred placement of the components in the reconstructed joint and determining the placement of the instrument, both of the placements being based on predefined dimensions of the components and the reference geometry of the joint;

monitoring placement of an instrument relative to the joint; and

displaying a feedback indicator to a user of the system, the feedback indicator guiding the placement of the instrument relative to the joint;

wherein a virtual representation of the components and the instrument are configured for display to the user with representative images of the joint.

37. A computer program product for coordinating the preferred placement of a plurality of interacting joint replacement components for reconstruction of a patient's joint, the program comprising:

a computer readable medium;

a digital imaging module stored on the medium for recording a pair of reference points coupled to the patient and for monitoring placement of an instrument relative to the joint, the reference points being located on either side of the joint for indicating a reference geometry of the joint;

a processing module stored on the medium for coordinating the preferred placement of the components in the reconstructed joint and for determining the placement of the instrument, both of the placements being based on the predefined dimensions of the components and the reference geometry of the joint; and

a display module stored on the medium for displaying a feedback indicator to a user of the system, the feedback indicator for guiding the placement of the instrument relative to the joint

wherein a virtual representation of the components and the instrument are configured for display to the user with representative images of the joint.

38. A system for coordinating the preferred placement of a joint replacement component for reconstruction of a patient's joint, the system comprising:

a tracking system for recording at least three reference points of the joint and for monitoring placement of an instrument relative to the joint, the reference points for indicating a reference surface geometry of the joint, the instrument configured for removing bone material relative to the reference surface geometry;

a processor for coordinating the preferred placement of the components in the reconstructed joint and for determining the placement of the instrument, both of the placements being based on the predefined dimensions of the components and the reference surface geometry of the joint; and

a display for displaying a feedback indicator to a user of the system, the feedback indicator for guiding the placement of the instrument relative to the reference surface geometry of the joint;

wherein a virtual representation of the component and the instrument are configured for display to the user with representative images of the joint.

39. The system of claim 38, wherein the feedback indicator is selected from the group comprising visual, auditory, tactile and other sensory indicators.

40. The system of claim 39, wherein the reference surface geometry provided by the reference points defines a surface contour.

41. The system of claim 40, wherein the surface contour is used to define a portion of the joint that is to be removed by the instrument to enable the preferred placement of the joint replacement component.

42. The system of claim 40, wherein the feedback indicator is configured to guide the user's placement of the instrument's depth relative to the surface contour.

43. The system of claim 39, wherein the feedback indicator is configured to inform the user if the depth placement of the instrument is within a specified tolerance of a pre-defined depth relative to the reference surface geometry.

44. The system of claim 43, wherein the feedback indicator is visual.

45. The system of claim 44, wherein the visual feedback indicator is a colour coded depth guide.

46. The system of claim 40, wherein the surface contour is a plane associated with an interface surface of the joint.

47. A method for determining the orientation and position of an axis defined by at least one attribute of an anatomical region relative to a reference frame, the method comprising the steps of:

- (i) tracking the position and orientation of the anatomical region relative to the frame;
- (ii) tracking the position and orientation of an imaging device relative to the frame;
- (iii) aligning the imaging device with the axis based on the attribute;
- (iv) determining the position and orientation of the aligned imaging device relative to the position and orientation of the region; and,

- (v) determining the position and orientation of the axis relative to the reference frame.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☒ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)